

# HARLOW AND GILSTON GARDEN TOWN: SUSTAINABILITY GUIDANCE AND CHECKLIST MEETING ON 6 OCTOBER 2020



## DECISION SHEETS

**Record of decisions made by the Executive pursuant to Regulation 12 of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012**

Date of publication: 5 September 2012

*\* Executive decisions will not be implemented until the expiry of 5 working days to take account of the Call-In procedure.*

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
1	Harlow and Gilston Garden Town: Sustainability Guidance and Checklist	(A) that the draft Harlow and Gilston Garden Town Sustainability Guidance and Checklist be	To enable consultation on proposed guidance on how relevant sustainability indicators and policies in the HGGT Vision, HGGT	The feasible alternative options in this matter include either proceeding with the finalisation	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
		agreed for a six week period of public consultation between October and December 2020.	draft Transport Strategy and Garden Town partner authorities' Local Plans will be applied to new strategic developments in the Garden Town.	of the Guidance and Checklist without consultation or halting further work on the preparation of the document at this stage. In the case of the first of these options, this would not appear to be an appropriate way forward. Third parties could challenge the content of the document as they would not have been afforded a formal opportunity to	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
				<p>comment. As a result, any finalised document could only be assigned less weight than one that has been subject to consultation. Pursuing this as an option would likely undermine the value of the final document. With regard to the second, halting further preparation work is not a reasonable option, there being no reason</p>	

<u>No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Reasons for the Decision</u>	<u>Details of alternative options considered and rejected at a meeting</u>	<u>Any declarations of conflict of interest and/or dispensations granted</u>
				to do so. With the value invested so far in the document, there is no apparent reason not to seek to pursue the work to a conclusion.	